

North Sub-Area Workshop #2 Segment Scoring Sheet

4/16/2014

Instructions: Please score each of the segments in the North Sub-Area for using the evaluation factors below. These evaluation factors were developed during small group discussions at Workshop #1.

Scoring Key

5 points = Best meets the factor (i.e., the segment with the least potential impacts to land uses; the segment most protective of health and safety)

4 points = Meets the factor

3 points = Mostly meets the factor

2 points = Mostly does not meet the factor

1 point = Does not meet the factor at all (i.e., the segment with most potential impacts to land uses; the segment least protective of health and safety)

| Evaluation factors | Segment A | Segment B | Segment C | Segment D |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Least proximity to sensitive community land uses (parks and other recreational areas, registered historic sites, schools, churches, etc.) | | | | |
| Least proximity to sensitive environmental areas (wetlands, wildlife habitat, fault lines, etc.) | | | | |
| Least proximity to residential areas (number of residences, population density, noise, etc.) | | | | |
| Least proximity to mature vegetation (number of trees impacted) | | | | |
| Maximizes opportunity areas (runs along existing utility corridors, public right-of-way vs. private right-of-way etc.) | | | | |
| Most protective of health and safety (EMF, Olympic Pipeline, etc.) | | | | |
| Least effect on aesthetics (pole design; see graphic representations) | | | | |

POTENTIAL ROUTE SEGMENTS

Fall 2013

