

How did the Community Advisory Group determine their preliminary route recommendation?

From January to July 2014, the Community Advisory Group examined a variety of qualitative and quantitative information on 18 route options, including:

FEEDBACK from Sub-Area Workshops, Sub-Area Committees and other community input.

QUANTIFIABLE DATA on route options, photo simulations, and information from PSE on cost, constructability, and maintainability.

RESULTS FROM A BLIND EVALUATION of the 18 route options completed by Community Advisory Group members.

INITIAL RECOMMENDATIONS on what route options to remove from further evaluation submitted by eight advisory group members via email.

DISCUSSION of 18 route options at advisory group meetings.

July 2014 - Community Advisory Group* recommended **11** route options for further evaluation.

*Some advisory group members initially recommended that all or a majority of the 18 routes move forward for further evaluation.

In October, the Community Advisory Group further evaluated the 11 recommended route options using additional sources of information, including:

RESULTS OF THE MULTI-OBJECTIVE DECISION ANALYSIS (MODA) using evaluation factor weightings from both the advisory group and the community survey results.

FEEDBACK from the summer community survey and other community input.

DISCUSSION of the 11 route options at advisory group meetings.

October 2014 - Community Advisory Group* selected **four** route options, Ash, Oak, Redwood and Willow, as their preliminary route recommendation.

*Of the 18 advisory group members present, 15 supported the recommendation, two members abstained and one had a dissenting opinion to include only three routes.