

## Community Advisory Group route options data table

Updated 8/12/14

### Overview

The table below presents data for the 18 potential route options for the Energize Eastside project. Route options are represented by tree names (e.g. "Ash," "Aspen," "Cedar," etc) with their corresponding route segment combinations, and are separated into two categories indicating whether they were recommended for further evaluation by the Community Advisory Group at Meeting #4b on July 9, 2014. Pages 1 through 6 present data organized by nine evaluation factors confirmed by the advisory group, listed in alphabetical order. Page 7 includes additional information on route options provided by Puget Sound Energy (PSE) related to constructability, permitability, and other considerations. Finally, page 8 presents supplemental "near" data, i.e. within 600 feet of proposed route options.

Unless otherwise noted, color coding (white to dark green) indicates where the cell value falls within the range for the data row, with white indicating a low value (or fewer potential impacts to a factor) and green indicating a high value (or more potential impacts to a factor). This table will be used by Community Advisory Group members to discuss route options and evaluation factors.

Data	Description	Unit	Data Range		ROUTE OPTIONS RECOMMENDED for further evaluation										ROUTE OPTIONS NOT RECOMMENDED for further evaluation							
			Min	Max	Ash A-C-D-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Aspen A-C-E-G2-I-K1-L-N	Cedar A-C-E-G2-G1-H-L-N	Cottonwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Elm A-C-D-F-H-K1-K2-M-N	Laurel A-C-D-F-H-L-N	Oak A-C-E-G2-I-K2-M-N	Pine A-B-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Redwood A-C-E-G2-G1-H-K1-K2-M-N	Sycamore A-B-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Willow A-C-E-J-M-N	Cherry A-B-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Dogwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Fir A-C-E-J-K2-K1-L-N	Magnolia A-C-D-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Maple A-B-F-H-L-N	Poplar A-B-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Spruce A-B-F-H-K1-K2-M-N
<b>Evaluation Factor - Avoids impacts to aesthetics</b>																						
Please refer to photo simulations at <a href="http://www.energizeeastside.com/photo-simulations">http://www.energizeeastside.com/photo-simulations</a> .																						
<b>Evaluation Factor - Avoids residential areas</b>																						
Residential Tax Accounts	Residential tax payers within 25 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data).	Count of Tax Accounts	1,217	1,935	1,608	1,713	1,730	1,607	1,621	1,829	1,425	1,218	1,522	1,217	1,422	1,506	1,935	1,750	1,896	1,439	1,545	1,231
Residential Use	Residential use within 25 feet of a corridor without existing transmission lines (based on King County assessor data).	Count of Parcels	5	285	6	126	173	6	53	174	5	117	52	117	5	238	127	126	127	285	238	164
	Residential use within 25 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data).	Count of Parcels	433	711	455	502	531	549	500	456	524	599	575	693	616	577	567	634	433	600	711	644

Data	Description	Unit	Data Range		ROUTE OPTIONS RECOMMENDED for further evaluation										ROUTE OPTIONS NOT RECOMMENDED for further evaluation							
			Min	Max	Ash A-C-D-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Aspen A-C-E-G2-I-K1-L-N	Cedar A-C-E-G2-G1-H-L-N	Cottonwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Elm A-C-D-F-H-K1-K2-M-N	Laurel A-C-D-F-H-L-N	Oak A-C-E-G2-I-K2-M-N	Pine A-B-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Redwood A-C-E-G2-G1-H-K1-K2-M-N	Sycamore A-B-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Willow A-C-E-J-M-N	Cherry A-B-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Dogwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Fir A-C-E-J-K2-K1-L-N	Magnolia A-C-D-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Maple A-B-F-H-L-N	Poplar A-B-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Spruce A-B-F-H-K1-K2-M-N
<b>Evaluation Factor - Avoids sensitive community land uses</b>																						
Schools	School use within 25 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data and Google Earth).	Count	1	8	4	2	2	5	4	1	3	7	5	8	4	6	4	3	3	4	7	7
Religious Service Institutions	Religious Service Institution use within 25 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data and Google Earth).	Count	2	11	9	5	3	5	5	2	8	11	6	7	4	8	4	3	6	4	6	7
Parks	Park use within 25 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data).	Count of Parcels	10	18	14	11	11	16	16	12	11	12	15	14	13	12	18	15	14	10	16	14
Recreation	Recreational use within 25 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data).	Count of Parcels	6	18	6	14	12	7	8	10	8	7	10	8	7	13	17	17	12	11	18	9
Trails	Count of trails within 25 feet of a corridor (based on King County Trails File).	Count	3	10	7	10	9	5	8	8	8	5	9	3	6	7	9	10	9	6	7	6
	Length of trails within 25 feet of a corridor (based on King County Trails File).	Miles	1.97	5.27	3.33	4.95	4.86	3.27	3.47	5.19	3.01	2.03	3.15	1.97	2.95	3.97	5.24	4.91	5.27	3.89	3.93	2.17
Historic Sites	Registered Historic Sites within half mile of corridor.	Count	2	6	5	3	3	5	5	2	6	5	6	5	6	2	2	3	2	2	2	5
Child Care	Child Care Facilities within 25 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data and Google Earth).	Count of Parcels	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1

Data	Description	Unit	Data Range		ROUTE OPTIONS RECOMMENDED for further evaluation											ROUTE OPTIONS NOT RECOMMENDED for further evaluation						
			Min	Max	Ash A-C-D-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Aspen A-C-E-G2-I-K1-L-N	Cedar A-C-E-G2-G1-H-L-N	Cottonwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Elm A-C-D-F-H-K1-K2-M-N	Laurel A-C-D-F-H-L-N	Oak A-C-E-G2-I-K2-M-N	Pine A-B-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Redwood A-C-E-G2-G1-H-K1-K2-M-N	Sycamore A-B-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Willow A-C-E-J-M-N	Cherry A-B-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Dogwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Fir A-C-E-J-K2-K1-L-N	Magnolia A-C-D-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Maple A-B-F-H-L-N	Poplar A-B-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Spruce A-B-F-H-K1-K2-M-N
<b>Evaluation Factor - Avoids sensitive environmental areas</b>																						
Wildlife	Number of State Documented wildlife species present per state Priority Habitat and Species Data. Includes known salmonid species present.	Species Count	20	30	25	20	25	25	30	29	21	22	26	22	21	21	26	22	24	26	23	27
Wetlands	Wetlands identified within 50 feet on both sides of corridor centerline (either from GIS data or field reconnaissance). This information is based on visual observations and does not include delineations.	Count	24	60	24	44	47	25	33	41	30	33	39	34	25	47	51	51	38	50	60	42
Stream Crossings	Streams identified within 50 feet both sides of corridor centerline (based on GIS layers and field reconnaissance).	Count	18	49	19	43	45	19	23	32	32	18	36	18	22	29	46	49	30	31	45	22
High Slope Instability	High Instability within 25 feet of the corridor (based on WA DNR Slope Stability Rating Area).	Percent of Corridor	3.53	6.86	4.00	3.53	5.18	3.79	5.69	6.12	3.95	4.29	4.99	4.05	4.94	3.88	4.50	5.63	3.64	6.86	4.78	6.19
Medium Slope Instability	Medium Instability within 25 feet of the corridor (based on WA DNR Slope Stability Rating Area).	Percent of Corridor	4.38	6.99	4.62	4.82	6.00	4.84	5.44	5.82	5.09	4.65	5.62	4.90	6.67	4.38	5.41	6.99	4.38	6.06	5.51	5.57
Low Slope Instability	Low Instability within 25 feet of the corridor (based on WA DNR Slope Stability Rating Area).	Percent of Corridor	0.97	2.89	1.27	1.46	1.92	1.62	1.74	1.80	1.77	1.29	1.85	1.68	2.89	0.97	1.65	2.62	0.99	1.92	1.71	1.82
Steep Slopes	Slopes greater than 40% within 25 feet of the corridor derived from King County LiDAR elevation.	Percent of Corridor	9.24	18.10	11.29	11.78	14.01	9.24	15.61	15.82	10.99	12.43	14.18	10.13	9.91	13.21	12.66	14.44	11.98	18.10	13.71	17.28
Moderately Steep Slopes	Slopes greater than 20% and less than 40% within 25 feet of the corridor derived from King County LiDAR elevation.	Percent of Corridor	15.82	21.25	15.82	18.90	20.51	17.59	17.76	19.11	17.57	16.26	19.02	18.26	21.25	17.59	17.71	20.49	17.00	20.26	18.26	18.45
Fault lines	Number of faults that are within 25 feet of the corridor derived from WADNR fault data set.	Count	5	11	5	5	6	11	6	6	5	5	6	11	7	5	11	7	5	6	11	6

			Data Range		ROUTE OPTIONS RECOMMENDED for further evaluation											ROUTE OPTIONS NOT RECOMMENDED for further evaluation						
Data	Description	Unit	Min	Max	Ash A-C-D-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Aspen A-C-E-G2-I-K1-L-N	Cedar A-C-E-G2-G1-H-L-N	Cottonwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Elm A-C-D-F-H-K1-K2-M-N	Laurel A-C-D-F-H-L-N	Oak A-C-E-G2-I-K2-M-N	Pine A-B-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Redwood A-C-E-G2-G1-H-K1-K2-M-N	Sycamore A-B-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Willow A-C-E-J-M-N	Cherry A-B-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Dogwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Fir A-C-E-J-K2-K1-L-N	Magnolia A-C-D-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Maple A-B-F-H-L-N	Poplar A-B-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Spruce A-B-F-H-K1-K2-M-N
<b>Evaluation Factor - Least cost to the ratepayer</b>																						
Cost	Total cost.	USD (Millions)	\$154	\$289	\$267	\$186	\$169	\$259	\$249	\$245	\$176	\$285	\$169	\$277	\$154	\$289	\$250	\$181	\$273	\$261	\$252	\$266
	Estimated monthly increase to average residential customer.	USD	\$0.90	\$1.69	\$1.56	\$1.09	\$0.99	\$1.52	\$1.46	\$1.43	\$1.03	\$1.67	\$0.99	\$1.62	\$0.90	\$1.69	\$1.46	\$1.06	\$1.60	\$1.53	\$1.47	\$1.56

The following table presents data for the “maximizes longevity” and “maximizes opportunity areas” evaluation factors. Please note that for these data, white indicates that there is greater longevity or more opportunity areas, whereas green indicates that there is less longevity or fewer opportunity areas.

			Data Range		ROUTE OPTIONS RECOMMENDED for further evaluation											ROUTE OPTIONS NOT RECOMMENDED for further evaluation						
Data	Description	Unit	Max (Greater longevity / More opportunities)	Min (Less longevity / Fewer opportunities)	Ash A-C-D-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Aspen A-C-E-G2-I-K1-L-N	Cedar A-C-E-G2-G1-H-L-N	Cottonwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Elm A-C-D-F-H-K1-K2-M-N	Laurel A-C-D-F-H-L-N	Oak A-C-E-G2-I-K2-M-N	Pine A-B-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Redwood A-C-E-G2-G1-H-K1-K2-M-N	Sycamore A-B-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Willow A-C-E-J-M-N	Cherry A-B-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Dogwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Fir A-C-E-J-K2-K1-L-N	Magnolia A-C-D-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Maple A-B-F-H-L-N	Poplar A-B-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Spruce A-B-F-H-K1-K2-M-N
<b>Evaluation Factor - Maximizes longevity</b>																						
Longevity	Estimated year when the next 230 kV line for a second Eastside transformer is needed.	Year	2038	2034	2034	2034	2034	2034	2034	2034	2034	2034	2034	2034	2038	2034	2034	2034	2034	2034	2034	2034
Future Flexibility	Percent of route on existing corridor.	Percent	100%	10%	61%	47%	48%	71%	61%	31%	83%	39%	79%	50%	100%	10%	36%	56%	29%	10%	19%	40%
<b>Evaluation Factor - Maximizes opportunity areas</b>																						
Existing Infrastructure	Miles of existing overhead transmission infrastructure.	Miles	16.59	8.95	14.65	13.44	12.16	15.59	13.47	9.18	16.59	14.42	16.45	15.36	16.15	11.27	14.20	14.76	11.50	8.95	13.97	13.24
	Percent of route on existing corridor.	Percent	100%	10%	61%	47%	48%	71%	61%	31%	83%	39%	79%	50%	100%	10%	36%	56%	29%	10%	19%	40%
Industrial Land Use	Industrial use within 25 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data).	Count of Parcels	37	6	25	16	17	36	26	27	15	18	16	29	6	19	37	7	26	20	30	19
Type of right-of-way	Miles of railroad right-of-way with adjacent existing overhead transmission infrastructure.	Miles	2.50	0.00	0.00	2.50	2.50	0.00	0.00	2.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	0.00
	Corridor length on Eastside Rail Corridor or Railroad right-of-way.	Miles	8.92	0.02	1.33	5.02	6.12	1.33	2.43	7.43	0.02	2.82	1.12	2.82	0.02	7.82	6.33	5.02	6.33	8.92	7.82	3.92
	Corridor length on public road right-of-way.	Miles	8.04	0.98	5.81	2.86	1.45	4.52	4.76	3.23	3.27	7.98	2.98	6.69	0.98	7.57	5.87	2.33	5.40	5.40	8.04	6.93
	Cross-country transmission corridor length.	Miles	16.15	3.63	10.81	10.26	10.26	13.17	10.81	7.28	13.79	7.16	13.79	9.52	16.15	3.63	9.64	12.62	7.28	3.63	5.99	7.16
Road access for construction	Access from roads using typical bucket truck equipment based on King County parcels and ArcGIS Basemap imagery.	Miles	12.00	1.68	6.38	6.97	5.38	5.02	5.53	7.48	4.04	8.67	3.43	7.31	1.68	11.60	9.71	6.37	9.31	9.77	12.00	7.82

Note: For the last two evaluation factors, color coding reverts to its original scale, where white indicates a low value (or fewer potential impacts to a factor) and green indicates a high value (or more potential impacts to a factor)

Data	Description	Unit	Data Range		ROUTE OPTIONS RECOMMENDED for further evaluation											ROUTE OPTIONS NOT RECOMMENDED for further evaluation						
			Min	Max	Ash A-C-D-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Aspen A-C-E-G2-I-K1-L-N	Cedar A-C-E-G2-G1-H-L-N	Cottonwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Elm A-C-D-F-H-K1-K2-M-N	Laurel A-C-D-F-H-L-N	Oak A-C-E-G2-I-K2-M-N	Pine A-B-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Redwood A-C-E-G2-G1-H-K1-K2-M-N	Sycamore A-B-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Willow A-C-E-J-M-N	Cherry A-B-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Dogwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Fir A-C-E-J-K2-K1-L-N	Magnolia A-C-D-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Maple A-B-F-H-L-N	Poplar A-B-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Spruce A-B-F-H-K1-K2-M-N
<b>Evaluation Factor - Protects health and safety</b>																						
Fuel Pipeline	Liquid fuel pipelines present with existing high voltage transmission lines.	Miles	0.60	16.15	9.11	7.23	7.23	11.94	9.11	4.25	12.56	5.93	12.56	8.29	16.15	0.60	6.61	9.59	4.25	0.60	2.96	5.93
Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) Levels	None of the equipment proposed along any segment or as part of substation improvements will contain PCBs.	Additional PCBs	0	>0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EMF	EMF levels are design and operationally dependent; however, all levels will be below published World Health Organization (W.H.O.) and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) recommended exposure levels.	Below Recommended W.H.O and IEEE Levels	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>Evaluation Factor - Protects mature vegetation</b>																						
Tree Removal	Total number of trees greater than 4-inch (dbh) that would require removal or trimming. The following methods were used to develop the tree estimates: LiDAR, Google Earth, and/or field reconnaissance.	Tree Total >4-inch (dbh)	7,756	12,374	8,084	9,049	9,566	8,699	9,125	9,706	7,756	8,560	8,985	9,175	7,879	9,853	11,898	11,078	9,377	10,182	12,374	9,601

**Additional information**

The following table includes additional information provided by PSE on constructability, permitability, maintainability and length of the 18 route options.

Data	Description	Unit	Data Range		ROUTE OPTIONS RECOMMENDED for further evaluation											ROUTE OPTIONS NOT RECOMMENDED for further evaluation						
			Min (advantage)	Max (disadvantage)	Ash A-C-D-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Aspen A-C-E-G2-I-K1-L-N	Cedar A-C-E-G2-G1-H-L-N	Cottonwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Elm A-C-D-F-H-K1-K2-M-N	Laurel A-C-D-F-H-L-N	Oak A-C-E-G2-I-K2-M-N	Pine A-B-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Redwood A-C-E-G2-G1-H-K1-K2-M-N	Sycamore A-B-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Willow A-C-E-J-M-N	Cherry A-B-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Dogwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Fir A-C-E-J-K2-K1-L-N	Magnolia A-C-D-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Maple A-B-F-H-L-N	Poplar A-B-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Spruce A-B-F-H-K1-K2-M-N
<b>Additional information - Constructability, permitability, maintainability, length</b>																						
Constructability	Ease of construction.	Less Difficult ↓ Neutral ↔ More Difficult ↑	Less Difficult ↓	More Difficult ↑	↑	↓	↓	↑	↔	↓	↔	↑	↔	↑	↑	↔	↔	↔	↓	↓	↔	↔
Permitability	Ease of permitting.	Less Difficult ↓ Neutral ↔ More Difficult ↑	Less Difficult ↓	More Difficult ↑	↔	↑	↑	↔	↔	↑	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↔
Maintainability	Ease of maintenance.	Less Difficult ↓ Neutral ↔ More Difficult ↑	Less Difficult ↓	More Difficult ↑	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔
Length	Length of corridor.	Miles	16.15	22.14	17.70	17.88	18.06	18.62	17.61	17.78	16.59	18.15	17.50	19.07	16.15	19.46	21.69	19.22	19.01	18.23	22.14	18.06

**Supplemental data**

The following table includes “near” data (within 600 feet of proposed route options). It is provided as supplemental to the “adjacent” data (within 25 feet of proposed route options) above.

Data	Description	Unit	Data Range		ROUTE OPTIONS RECOMMENDED for further evaluation											ROUTE OPTIONS NOT RECOMMENDED for further evaluation						
			Min	Max	Ash A-C-D-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Aspen A-C-E-G2-I-K1-L-N	Cedar A-C-E-G2-G1-H-L-N	Cottonwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Elm A-C-D-F-H-K1-K2-M-N	Laurel A-C-D-F-H-L-N	Oak A-C-E-G2-I-K2-M-N	Pine A-B-F-G1-I-K2-M-N	Redwood A-C-E-G2-G1-H-K1-K2-M-N	Sycamore A-B-F-G1-G2-J-M-N	Willow A-C-E-J-M-N	Cherry A-B-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Dogwood A-C-D-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Fir A-C-E-J-K2-K1-L-N	Magnolia A-C-D-F-G1-I-K1-L-N	Maple A-B-F-H-L-N	Poplar A-B-F-G1-G2-J-K2-K1-L-N	Spruce A-B-F-H-K1-K2-M-N
<b>Near (within 600 feet) data and other information</b>																						
Schools	School use within 600 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data and Google Earth).	Count	3	13	9	5	4	10	8	3	8	12	9	13	7	11	9	6	6	6	12	11
	Number of students attending schools within 600 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data and Google Earth). Some attendance data not readily available.	Count	671	17,925	16,292	2,304	671	15,766	17,925	3,405	13,558	14,023	15,191	13,497	13,032	2,769	7,778	5,044	5,038	1,136	5,509	15,656
Religious Service Institutions	Religious Service Institution use within 600 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data and Google Earth).	Count	5	16	16	8	7	13	13	7	12	16	13	13	7	13	11	5	12	7	11	13
Trails	Count of trails within 600 feet of a corridor (based on King County Trails File).	Count	8	15	13	13	15	11	15	15	11	10	15	8	9	12	15	13	15	12	12	12
	Length of trails within 600 feet of a corridor (based on King County Trails File).	Miles	9.18	20.72	12.66	16.88	17.42	11.45	14.78	19.16	10.76	10.39	13.04	9.18	9.55	16.50	20.72	18.82	18.78	16.89	18.45	12.50
Residential Use	Residential Use within 600 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data).	Count of Parcels	2,723	4,114	3272	3002	3077	3778	3463	2723	3538	3608	3817	4114	3970	3072	3572	3764	2736	3059	3908	3799
	Residential Use within 600 feet of a corridor that have no existing transmission lines (based on King County assessor data).	Count of Parcels	7	794	42	216	398	43	224	432	8	404	190	405	7	612	251	215	250	794	613	586
Industrial/Medical /Retail/Business Use	Industrial, Medical, Retail/Business use within 600 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data).	Count of Parcels	123	335	335	189	159	325	279	266	202	279	172	269	136	223	312	123	322	210	256	223
Industrial Use	Industrial present use within 600 feet of a corridor (based on King County assessor data).	Count of Parcels	39	115	80	71	78	107	75	83	63	44	70	71	46	39	115	54	88	47	79	39